Voting Practices in the United Nations 2010

Report to Congress Submitted Pursuant to Public Laws 101-246 and 108-447

March 31, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I – INTRODUCTION	2
FORMAT AND METHODOLOGY	2
II – SECURITY COUNCIL	
COUNTRY AND REGIONAL RESOLUTIONS	3
AFGHANISTAN	
BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	3
BURUNDI	
CHAD, CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC, AND REGION	
CÔTE D'IVOIRE	
CYPRUS DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF KOREA	4
DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE S REPUBLIC OF ROREA	
GUINEA-BISSAU	
HAITI	
IRAN	5
IRAQ	
LIBERIA	6
MIDDLE EAST	
NEPAL	
SOMALIA	
SUDAN	
TIMOR-LESTE	
WESTERN SAHARA	7
THEMATIC RESOLUTIONS	
JUDICIAL TRIBUNALS	
PEACEBUILDING	
TERRORISM	
W. CEVERAL ACCEVENT	
III – GENERAL ASSEMBLY: OVERALL VOTING	1 /
VOTING COINCIDENCE WITH THE UNITED STATES	
TABLES SHOWING COMPARISON WITH U.S. VOTES	1(
IV – GENERAL ASSEMBLY:	
IMPORTANT RESOLUTIONS	
IMPORTANT VOTES	
IMPORTANT CONSENSUS ACTIONS	
IMPORTANT VOTES: COMPARISON WITH U.S	
V –REGIONAL AND OTHER GROUPS	
AFRICA	
ARAB GROUP	
ASIAN GROUP	
EASTERN EUROPEAN GROUP (EE)	
EUROPEAN UNION (EU)	
LATIN AMERICAN AND CARIBBEAN GROUP (LAC)	28
NON-ALIGNED MOVEMENT (NAM)	
NORDIC GROUP	
NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION (NATO)	
ORGANIZATION OF THE ISLAMIC CONFERENCE (OIC) WESTERN EUROPEAN AND OTHERS GROUP	
VI – RESOLUTIONS RELATED TO ISRAEL	J
OPPOSED BY THE UNITED STATES	2
COMPADISON WITH HIS WOTES	27

I — INTRODUCTION

This publication is the 28th annual Report to the Congress on Voting Practices in the United Nations. It is submitted in accordance with Section 406 of Public Law 101-246. This law provides, in relevant part:

"The Secretary of State shall transmit to the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a full and complete annual report which assesses for the preceding calendar year, with respect to each foreign country member of the United Nations, the voting practices of the governments of such countries at the United Nations, and which evaluates General Assembly and Security Council actions and the responsiveness of those governments to United States policy on issues of special importance to the United States."

This report reviews voting practices in the UN Security Council and the 65th General Assembly (UNGA) in calendar year 2010, and presents data in a variety of formats. All Security Council resolutions for the calendar year are described, and voting on them is tabulated (Section II). The report also statistically measures the overall voting of UN member states at the 65th General Assembly in Fall 2010 in comparison with the U.S. voting record (Section III). It also lists and describes UNGA resolutions selected as particularly important to U.S. interests (Section IV), and lists these important votes again with tables for regional and political groupings (Section V). It then presents the voting patterns on General Assembly resolutions relating to Israel and opposed by the United States (Section VI).

The Security Council and the General Assembly deal with a full spectrum of issues — including threats to peace and security, disarmament, economic and social development, humanitarian relief, and human rights — that are considered critical to U.S. interests. A country's behavior at the United Nations is always relevant to its bilateral relationship. Nevertheless, a country's voting record in the United Nations is only one dimension of its relations with the United States. Bilateral economic, strategic, and political issues are at times more directly important to U.S. interests. The Department of State informs all U.S. diplomatic missions of General Assembly and Security Council activities, including through this report, so that they will be fully informed about the extent to which their host governments support U.S. priorities at the United Nations.

FORMAT AND METHODOLOGY

This report's format and presentation are consistent with provisions of Public Law 101-246 as amended by Public Law 108-447, and the methodology employed is the same as that used since the report's inception.

The tables in this report provide a measurement of the voting coincidence of UN member countries with the United States. However, readers are cautioned about interpreting voting coincidence percentages. In Section III (General Assembly Overall Votes), Section IV (General Assembly Important Votes and Consensus Actions), and the Annex, the percentages in the column of the tables titled "votes only," are calculated using only votes on which both the United States and the other country in question voted Yes or No; not included are those instances when either country abstained or was absent. Abstentions and absences are often difficult to interpret, but they make a mathematical difference, sometimes significant, in the percentage results. The inclusion of the number of abstentions and absences in the tables of this report enables the reader to consider them in calculating voting coincidence percentages.

The percentages in column of the table titled "including consensus," offer another perspective on General Assembly activity. These figures, by presenting the percentage of voting coincidence with the United States after including consensus resolutions as additional identical votes, more accurately reflect the extent of cooperation and agreement in the General Assembly. Since not all states are equally active at the United Nations, the report credits to each country a portion of the 194 consensus resolutions based on its participation in the 87 recorded Plenary votes. Each country's participation rate was calculated by dividing the number of Yes/No/Abstain votes it cast in the Plenary by the total number of Plenary votes). However, this calculation assumes, for want of an attendance record, that all countries were present or absent for consensus resolutions in the same ratio as for recorded votes.

Questions about this report may be directed to the Bureau of International Organization Affairs in the Department of State.